

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/779,922	DELLA-LIBERA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randall Palmer (Reg. No. 61,440). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102(e) rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

1, 19, 31

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Sankar (U.S. Patent 7,065,706)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner advised the Applicant that if independent claims 1 and 19 were amended to incorporate the same limitations as independent claim 31, the claims would be in condition for allowance. The Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)